



BTEC Policies

Introduction

This policy replaces any previous policy and follows the DfE regulations.

In line with the College's Equal Opportunities and Special Educational Needs policies, we aim to give all students equal opportunities to take part in all aspects of college life, as far as is appropriate, practicable and compatible with giving regard to health and safety and the efficient education of other students.

This policy takes account of our aim for children to have the support they need under Every Child Matters:

- to be healthy
- to stay safe
- to enjoy and achieve
- to make a positive contribution
- to achieve economic well-being

The policy will follow the five principles of the Children's Plan:

- to support parents and families
- to allow children to reach their full potential
- to enable children to enjoy their childhood whilst preparing for adult life
- to provide services in response to children and family needs
- to use preventative measures to help students avoid the possibility of failure

This policy is based upon the College's commitment to the development and maintenance of good behaviour and a positive and inclusive ethos for all members of the College community.

Rooks Heath College – BTEC Policies 2018-19

Foreword

Rooks Heath College is a mixed 11 to 18 multicultural comprehensive in the London Borough of Harrow. This policy is formulated by the BTEC Coordinator, in consultation with staff and is monitored by the College's Leadership and Management Group. The policy is subject to review every year by the College's BTEC Co-ordinator and is subject to approval by the Governors and BoT of the College.

Contents

	Page No.
Registration & Certification Policy	3
Assessment Policy	4
Internal Verification Policy	6
Appeals Policy	7
Plagiarism and Assessment Malpractice Policy	7

Registration & Certification Policy

Aims of this Policy

1. To register individual learners to the correct programme within agreed timescales
2. To claim valid learner certificates within agreed timescales
3. To construct a secure, accurate and accessible audit trail to ensure that individual learner registration and certification claims can be tracked to the certificate which is issued for each learner.

In order to achieve this aim Rooks Heath College will:

1. Register each learner within the awarding body requirements i.e. Pearson. requirements
2. Provide a mechanism for programme teams to check the accuracy of learner registration.
3. Make each learner aware of their registration status.
4. Inform the awarding body of withdrawals, transfers or changes to learner details
5. Ensure that certificate claims are timely and based solely on internally verified assessment records
6. Audit certificate claims are made to the awarding body
7. Audit the certificates received from the awarding body to ensure accuracy and completeness
8. Keep all records safely and securely for three years post certification

This policy will be reviewed every year by the RHC's governing body.

At Rooks Heath College:

The Exam Officer will register the every learner and liaise with the assessor to confirm the accuracy of the registration and signing the class list. A copy of the registered learners is filed in the LIV's folder..

The assessors will claim certification after successful release of the qualification by the Standards Verifier before the end of the academic year before the cut-off date set by Pearson.

Links:

https://qualifications.pearson.com/content/dam/pdf/Support/Quality%20Assurance/centre_guide_to_quality_management_review_2018_19_final.pdf

Assessment Policy

Aims of this Policy


1. To ensure that assessment methodology is valid, reliable and does not disadvantage or advantage any group of learners or individuals
2. To ensure that the assessment procedure is open, fair and free from bias and to national standards.
3. To ensure that there is accurate and detailed recording of assessment decisions.

Rooks Heath College will achieve this by:

1. ensure that learners are provided with assignments that are fit for purpose, to enable them to produce appropriate evidence for assessment
2. produce a clear and accurate assessment plan at the start of the programme/academic year
3. provide clear, published dates for handout of assignments and deadlines for assessment
4. assess learner's evidence using only the published assessment and grading criteria
5. ensure that assessment decisions are impartial, valid and reliable
6. not limit or 'cap' learner achievement if work is submitted late
7. develop assessment procedures that will minimise the opportunity for malpractice
8. maintain accurate and detailed records of assessment decisions
9. maintain a robust and rigorous internal verification procedure
10. provide samples for standards verification as required by the awarding organisation
11. monitor standards verification/external examination reports and
12. undertake any remedial action require.
13. share good assessment practice between all BTEC programme teams
14. ensure that BTEC assessment methodology and the role of the assessor are understood by all BTEC staff
15. provide resources to ensure that assessment can be performed accurately and appropriately.

Rooks Heath College – BTEC Policies 2018-19

The Staff will adhere to the below flow chart on assessment submission process published by Pearson;



Links:

Further details can be found on this link

<https://qualifications.pearson.com/content/dam/pdf/Support/Quality%20Assurance/BTEC-Centre-Guide-to-Internal-Assessment-2018-2019.pdf>

Internal Verification Policy

Aims of this Policy

1. To ensure there is an accredited Lead Internal Verifier in each principal subject area
2. To ensure that Internal Verification is valid, reliable and covers all Assessors and programme activity.
3. To ensure that the Internal Verification procedure is open, fair and free from bias
4. To ensure that there is accurate and detailed recording of Internal Verification decisions.

In order to achieve this, Rooks Heath College will ensure that:

1. where required by the qualification, a Lead Internal Verifier is appropriately appointed for each subject area, is registered with Pearson and has undergone the necessary standardisation processes
2. each Lead Internal Verifier oversees effective Internal Verification systems in their subject area
3. staff are briefed and trained in the requirements for current Internal Verification procedures
4. effective Internal Verification roles are defined, maintained and supported
5. Internal Verification is promoted as a developmental process between staff
6. standardised Internal Verification documentation is provided and used
7. all centre assessment instruments are verified as fit for purpose
8. an annual Internal Verification schedule, linked to assessment plans, is in place
9. an appropriately structured sample of assessment from all programmes, units, sites and Assessors is Internally Verified, to ensure centre programmes conform to national standards
10. secure records of all Internal Verification activity are maintained
11. the outcome of Internal Verification is used to enhance future assessment practice

This Policy will be reviewed every year by RHC's governing body.

The Lead Internal Verifier is to be responsible for organising the sample size required from each assessor. The role of the Lead Internal Verifier is to ensure that internally assessed work consistently meets national standards which can also lead to staff development and quality improvement across the sector.

- Planning an annual internal verification schedule which is published to all relevant BTEC assessors and the Quality Nominee at the beginning of each academic year. This can be done on the template called IV Assessment Plan published by Pearson.

Links:

Further details can be found on this link

1. BTEC Centre Guide to Internal Verification
2. BTEC Centre Guide to Standards Verification
3. Assessment & verification tools/ templates
4. BTEC Centre Guide for Lead Internal Verifiers

Appeals Policy

Aim of this policy:

1. To enable the learner to enquire, question or appeal against an assessment decision
2. To attempt to reach agreement between the learner and the Assessor at the earliest opportunity
3. To standardise and record any appeal to ensure openness and fairness
4. To facilitate a learner's ultimate right of appeal to the Awarding Body, where
5. appropriate
6. To protect the interests of all learners and the integrity of the qualification.

In order to achieve this, Rooks Heath College will ensure that:

1. inform the learner at induction, of the Appeals Policy and procedure
2. record, track and validate any appeal
3. forward the appeal to the Awarding Body when a learner considers that a decision continues to disadvantage her/him after the internal appeals process has been exhausted
4. keep appeals records for inspection by the Awarding Body for a minimum of 18 months
5. have a staged appeals procedure
6. will take appropriate action to protect the interests of other learners and the integrity of the qualification, when the outcome of an appeal questions the validity of other results
7. monitor appeals to inform quality improvement

This policy will be reviewed every year by the RHC's governing body.

Plagiarism and Assessment malpractice Policy

Aim of this Policy

1. To identify and minimise the risk of malpractice by staff or learners
2. To respond to any incident of alleged malpractice promptly and objectively

Rooks Heath College – BTEC Policies 2018-19

3. To standardise and record any investigation of malpractice to ensure openness and fairness
4. To impose appropriate penalties and/or sanctions on learners or staff where
5. Incidents (or attempted incidents) of malpractice are proven
6. To protect the integrity of this centre and BTEC qualifications.

In order to achieve this, Rooks Heath College will ensure that:

1. seek to avoid potential malpractice by using the induction period and the learner handbook to inform learners of the centre's policy on malpractice and the penalties for attempted and actual incidents of malpractice
2. show learners the appropriate formats to record cited texts and other materials or information sources
3. ask learners to declare that their work is their own
4. ask learners to provide evidence that they have interpreted and synthesised appropriate information and acknowledged any sources used
5. conduct an investigation in a form commensurate with the nature of the malpractice allegation. Such an investigation will be supported by the Head of Centre / Principal / CEO and all personnel linked to the allegation. It will proceed through the following stages:
 - make the individual fully aware at the earliest opportunity of the nature of the alleged malpractice and of the possible consequences should malpractice be proven
 - give the individual the opportunity to respond to the allegations made
 - inform the individual of the avenues for appealing against any judgment made
 - document all stages of any investigation

Where malpractice is proven, this centre will apply the following penalties / sanctions:

1. Withdraw the student from the programme and de-register the learner
2. Decline to claim any certification.

Definition of Malpractice by Learners

This list is not exhaustive and other instances of malpractice may be considered by this centre at its discretion:

1. plagiarism of any nature
2. collusion by working collaboratively with other learners to produce work that is submitted as individual learner work
3. copying (including the use of ICT to aid copying)
4. deliberate destruction of another's work
5. fabrication of results or evidence
6. false declaration of authenticity in relation to the contents of a portfolio or coursework

7. impersonation by pretending to be someone else in order to produce the work for another or arranging for another to take one's place in an assessment/examination/test

Definition of Malpractice by Centre Staff

This list is not exhaustive and other instances of malpractice may be considered by this centre at its discretion:

1. improper assistance to candidates
2. inventing or changing marks for internally assessed work (coursework or portfolio evidence) where there is insufficient evidence of the candidates' achievement to justify the marks given or assessment decisions made
3. failure to keep candidate coursework/portfolios of evidence secure
4. fraudulent claims for certificates
5. inappropriate retention of certificates
6. assisting learners in the production of work for assessment, where the support has the potential to influence the outcomes of assessment, for example where the assistance involves centre staff producing work for the learner
7. producing falsified witness statements, for example for evidence the learner has not generated
8. allowing evidence, which is known by the staff member not to be the learner's own, to be included in a learner's assignment/task/portfolio/coursework
9. facilitating and allowing impersonation
10. misusing the conditions for special learner requirements, for example where learners are permitted support, such as an amanuensis, this is permissible up to the point where the support has the potential to influence the outcome of the assessment
11. falsifying records/certificates, for example by alteration, substitution, or by fraud
12. fraudulent certificate claims, that is claiming for a certificate prior to the learner completing all the requirements of assessment.

In order to minimise addition to above, departments cover this quality during the learners' induction.

The Exam officer visits every sector and class delivering BTEC course by going through JCQ rules and regulations as well as a session on malpractice.

Assessors run a lesson on Plagiarism by showing the podcast published by Pearson as well as Plagiarism fact sheet, Ws on National Skills 07 published by Pearson.

Links:

Pearson Centre Guidance on dealing with malpractice and maladministration in vocational qualifications.